



**Region F  
Water Planning Group**

Freese and Nichols, Inc.  
LBG-Guyton Associates, Inc.

---

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
ES.1 Current Water Needs and Supplies in Region F.....	ES-3
ES.1.1 Physical Setting.....	ES-3
ES.1.2 Water Use.....	ES-3
ES.1.3 Current Sources of Water.....	ES-3
ES.1.4 Water Providers in Region F.....	ES-4
ES.2 Projected Need for Water .....	ES-4
ES.2.1 Population Projections .....	ES-4
ES.2.2 Demand Projections .....	ES-4
ES.2.3 Water Supply Analysis .....	ES-5
ES.2.4 Comparison of Supply and Demand.....	ES-6
ES.2.5 Socio-Economic Impact of Not Meeting Projected Water Needs .....	ES-7
ES.3 Identification and Selection of Water Management Strategies .....	ES-7
ES.3.1 Water Conservation and Reuse.....	ES-7
ES.3.2 Recommended Water Management Strategies .....	ES-8
 <b>1. DESCRIPTION OF REGION .....</b>	 <b>1-1</b>
1.1 Introduction to Region F .....	1-1
1.1.1 Economic Activity in Region F .....	1-6
1.1.2 Water-Related Physical Features in Region F .....	1-12
1.2 Current Water Uses and Demand Centers in Region F.....	1-19
1.3 Current Sources of Water .....	1-28
1.3.1 Surface Water Sources.....	1-33
1.3.2 Groundwater Sources.....	1-36
1.3.3 Springs in Region F .....	1-39
1.4 Agricultural and Natural Resources in Region F .....	1-45
1.4.1 Endangered or Threatened Species.....	1-45
1.4.2 Agriculture and Prime Farmland .....	1-48
1.4.3 Mineral Resources .....	1-52
1.5 Water Providers in Region F.....	1-52
1.5.1 Wholesale Water Providers.....	1-52
1.5.2 Retail Water Sales.....	1-56

1.6	Existing Plans for Water Supply Development.....	1-56
1.6.1	Conservation Planning in Region F.....	1-60
1.6.2	Assessment of Current Preparations for Drought in Region F.....	1-61
1.6.3	Other Water-Related Programs.....	1-62
1.7	Summary of First Biennium Special Studies.....	1-64
1.7.1	Ground Water Study.....	1-64
1.7.2	Irrigation Survey.....	1-66
1.7.3	Municipal Conservation Survey.....	1-67
1.7.4	Evaluation of Supplies in the Pecan Bayou Watershed.....	1-68
1.7.5	Economics of Rural Water Distribution and Integrated Water Supply Study...	1-69
1.7.6	Region K Coordination.....	1-70
1.8	Summary of Threats and Constraints to Water Supply in Region F.....	1-71
1.8.1	Threats to Water Supply.....	1-71
1.8.2	Constraints.....	1-77
1.9	Water-Related Threats to Agricultural and Natural Resources in Region F.....	1-78
1.9.1	Water Related Threats to Agriculture.....	1-78
1.9.2	Water Related Threats to Natural Resources.....	1-79
1.10	Water Loss Audit.....	1-79
1.11	Navigation in Region F.....	1-81
1.12	List of References.....	1-82
<b>2</b>	<b>CURRENT AND PROJECTED POPULATION AND WATER DEMAND DATA FOR THE REGION.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Population Projections.....	2-2
2.3	Historical and Projected Water Demands.....	2-4
2.3.1	Municipal Water Demand Projections.....	2-10
2.3.2	Manufacturing Projections.....	2-14
2.3.3	Irrigation Projections.....	2-16
2.3.4	Steam Electric Power Generation.....	2-20
2.3.5	Mining Projections.....	2-21
2.3.6	Livestock Watering.....	2-24
2.4	Wholesale Water Providers.....	2-27
2.4.1	Colorado River Municipal Water District (CRMWD).....	2-27
2.4.2	Brown County Water Improvement District No. 1 (BCWID).....	2-27
2.4.3	The Upper Colorado River Authority (UCRA).....	2-29
2.4.4	The Great Plains Water Supply System.....	2-30
2.4.5	The City of Odessa.....	2-30
2.4.6	The City of San Angelo.....	2-31

2.4.7	University Lands .....	2-31
2.5	List of References .....	2-33
<b>3</b>	<b>WATER SUPPLY ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Existing Groundwater Supplies .....	3-2
3.1.1	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer .....	3-8
3.1.2	Ogallala Aquifer.....	3-16
3.1.3	Pecos Valley Aquifer .....	3-16
3.1.4	Trinity Aquifer .....	3-19
3.1.5	Dockum Aquifer .....	3-21
3.1.6	Hickory Aquifer .....	3-23
3.1.7	Lipan Aquifer.....	3-25
3.1.8	Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer .....	3-27
3.1.9	Marble Falls Aquifer .....	3-29
3.1.10	Rustler Aquifer.....	3-29
3.1.11	Capitan Reef Aquifer .....	3-32
3.1.12	Brackish Groundwater Availability .....	3-34
3.2	Existing Surface Water Supplies .....	3-34
3.2.1	Description of Major Reservoirs.....	3-37
3.2.2	Available Surface Water Supply.....	3-41
3.3	Alternative Water Supplies.....	3-45
3.3.1	Desalination .....	3-45
3.3.2	Use of Reclaimed Water .....	3-47
3.4	Currently Available Supplies for Water User Groups.....	3-50
3.5	Currently Available Supplies for Wholesale Water Providers.....	3-52
3.6	Impact of Drought on Region F.....	3-55
3.6.1	Drought Conditions.....	3-56
3.6.2	Drought of Record and Recent Droughts in Region F.....	3-57
3.6.3	Potential Environmental Impacts of Drought in Region F .....	3-64
3.6.4	Impacts of Recent Drought on Water Supply .....	3-64
3.7	List of References.....	3-66
<b>4</b>	<b>IDENTIFICATION, EVALUATION, AND SELECTION OF WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES BASED ON NEEDS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Comparison of Current Supplies and Demand .....	4-1
4.1.1	Current Supply .....	4-1
4.1.2	Regional Demands .....	4-2
4.1.3	Comparison of Demand to Currently Available Supplies .....	4-2
4.1.4	Identified Needs for Wholesale Water Providers .....	4-3
4.1.5	Socio-Economic Impacts of Not Meeting Projected Shortages.....	4-3

4.2	Identification and Evaluation of Water Management Strategies.....	4-10
4.2.1	Evaluation Procedures .....	4-10
4.2.2	Strategy Development.....	4-11
4.2.3	Subordination of Downstream Senior Water Rights .....	4-12
4.3	Municipal Needs.....	4-23
4.3.1	City of Andrews.....	4-24
4.3.2	City of Ballinger .....	4-28
4.3.3	City of Winters.....	4-40
4.3.4	City of Bronte .....	4-48
4.3.5	City of Robert Lee .....	4-57
4.3.6	City of Menard.....	4-68
4.3.7	City of Midland.....	4-79
4.3.8	City of Coleman.....	4-88
4.3.9	City of Brady.....	4-93
4.3.10	Strategies for Hickory Aquifer Users .....	4-99
4.4	Manufacturing Needs .....	4-124
4.4.1	Kimble County.....	4-124
4.5	Steam-Electric Power Needs .....	4-129
4.6	Irrigation Needs .....	4-137
4.7	Mining Needs .....	4-147
4.8	Strategies for Wholesale Water Providers.....	4-149
4.8.1	Colorado River Municipal Water District.....	4-150
4.8.2	City of San Angelo.....	4-173
4.9	Other Strategies .....	4-192
4.9.1	Weather Modification .....	4-192
4.9.2	Brush Control.....	4-195
4.10	Summary of Needs and Strategies by County.....	4-204
<b>5</b>	<b>IMPACTS OF WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON KEY PARAMETERS OF WATER QUALITY AND IMPACTS OF MOVING WATER FROM RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL AREAS .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	5-1
5.2	Potential Impacts of Water Management Strategies on Key Water Quality Parameters.....	5-1
5.2.1	Expanded Use of Surface Water Resources.....	5-2
5.2.2	Voluntary Redistribution .....	5-2
5.2.3	Reuse of Treated Wastewaters.....	5-3
5.2.4	New and/or Expanded Use of Groundwater Resources.....	5-4
5.2.5	Water Conservation .....	5-4
5.2.6	Desalination .....	5-5

5.3	Impacts of Region F Water Management Strategies on Key Water Quality Parameters	5-5
5.3.1	Voluntary Redistribution	5-5
5.3.2	New or Expanded Groundwater	5-6
5.3.3	Reuse	5-6
5.3.4	Desalination	5-7
5.4	Impacts of Moving Water from Rural and Agricultural Areas	5-7
<b>6</b>	<b>WATER CONSERVATION AND DROUGHT MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Water Conservation Plans	6-4
6.2	Evaluation of Potential Savings from Water Conservation	6-6
6.3	Drought Contingency Plans	6-10
6.4	Drought Response by Source	6-10
6.5	List of References	6-11
<b>7</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE REGIONAL WATER PLAN IS CONSISTENT WITH LONG-TERM PROTECTION OF THE STATE’S WATER RESOURCES, AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES, AND NATURAL RESOURCES</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Consistency with the Protection of Water Resources	7-1
7.3	Consistency with Protection of Agricultural Resources	7-4
7.4	Consistency with Protection of Natural Resources	7-5
7.5	Consistency with State Water Planning Guidelines	7-6
7.6	List of References	7-7
<b>8</b>	<b>UNIQUE STREAM SEGMENTS/RESERVOIR SITES/LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	Recommendations for Ecologically Unique River and Stream Segments	8-1
8.2	Recommendations for Unique Sites for Reservoir Construction	8-5
8.3	Policy and Legislative Recommendations	8-6
8.3.1	Surface Water Policies	8-6
8.3.2	Groundwater Policies	8-7
8.3.3	Environmental Policies	8-8
8.3.4	Instream Flows	8-9
8.3.5	Interbasin Transfers	8-9
8.3.6	Uncommitted Water	8-10
8.3.7	Brush Control	8-10
8.3.8	Desalination	8-11
8.3.9	Weather Modification	8-11

8.3.10	Water Quality.....	8-11
8.3.11	Municipal Conservation.....	8-13
8.3.12	Reuse.....	8-13
8.3.13	Conjunctive Use.....	8-14
8.3.14	Groundwater Conservation Districts.....	8-14
8.3.15	Oil and Gas Operations.....	8-15
8.3.16	Electric Generation Industry.....	8-16
8.3.17	Funding.....	8-17
8.4	Regional Planning Process.....	8-17
8.4	Summary of Recommendations.....	8-18
8.5	List of References.....	8-24
<b>9</b>	<b>INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>9-2</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>PLAN ADOPTION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....</b>	<b>10-1</b>
10.1	Regional Water Planning Group.....	10-1
10.2	Outreach to Water Suppliers, Water User Groups and Adjacent Regions.....	10-3
10.3	Outreach to the Public.....	10-3
10.4	Public Meetings and Public Hearings.....	10-4
10.5	Comments from State and Federal Agencies.....	10-4
10.6	Plan Implementation Issues.....	10-4

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table ES-1	Recommended Water Management Strategies by Type.....	ES-9
Table 1.1-1	Historical Population of Region F Counties.....	1-3
Table 1.1-2	Region F Cities with a Year 2006 Population Greater than 10,000.....	1-6
Table 1.1-3	2007 County Payroll by Category (\$1000).....	1-7
Table 1.1-4	Major Water Supply Reservoirs in Region F a.....	1-16
Table 1.2-1	Historical Total Water Use by County in Region F.....	1-22
Table 1.2-2	Historical Water Use by Category in Region F.....	1-23
Table 1.2-3	Year 2006 Water Use by Category and County.....	1-24
Table 1.2-4	Recreational Use of Reservoirs in Region F.....	1-27
Table 1.3-1	Historical Groundwater and Surface Water Use in Region F.....	1-28
Table 1.3-2	Source of Supply by County and Category in 2004 for Region F.....	1-31
Table 1.3-3	Surface Water Rights by County and Category.....	1-34
Table 1.3-4	2003 Groundwater Pumping by County and Aquifer.....	1-37
Table 1.4-1	Endangered and Threatened Species in Region F.....	1-46
Table 1.4-2	2007 U.S. Department of Agriculture County Census Data for Region F.....	1-49

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 1.5-1	Fiscal Year 2006 Sales by the Colorado River Municipal Water District .....	1-54
Table 1.5-2	2006 Sales by the Brown County Water Improvement District Number One .....	1-54
Table 1.5-3	2006 Diversions from Upper Colorado River Authority Sources .....	1-55
Table 1.5-4	Water Supplied by Selected Cities in Region F .....	1-56
Table 1.6-1	Recharge Rates from Green’s Water Budget Analysis .....	1-59
Table 1.8-1	Summary of Identified Surface Water Quality Problems in Region F.....	1-72
Table 1.8-2	Percentage of Sampled Water Wells Exceeding Drinking Water Standards for Fluoride, Nitrate and Arsenic (2008) .....	1-76
Table 2.2-1	Historical and Projected Population by County .....	2-2
Table 2.3-1	Water Demand Projections for Region F by Use Category .....	2-7
Table 2.3-2	Total Historical and Projected Water Demand by County .....	2-8
Table 2.3-3	Comparison of Per Capita Water Use and Municipal Conservation Trends.....	2-11
Table 2.3-4	Municipal Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties.....	2-12
Table 2.3-5	Expected Savings from Implementation of Plumbing Code .....	2-13
Table 2.3-6	Manufacturing Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties .....	2-15
Table 2.3-7	Comparison of Region F Irrigation Demand Projections to Statewide Projections.....	2-17
Table 2.3-8	Irrigation Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties .....	2-19
Table 2.3-9	Steam Electric Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties.....	2-23
Table 2.3-10	Comparison of Region F Mining Projections to Statewide Totals.....	2-24
Table 2.3-11	Mining Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties .....	2-25
Table 2.3-12	Livestock Water Demand Projections for Region F Counties .....	2-26
Table 2.4-1	Expected Demands for the Colorado River Municipal Water District a.....	2-28
Table 2.4-2	Expected Demands for the Brown County Water Improvement District No. 1*..	2-29
Table 2.4-3	Expected Demands for the Upper Colorado River Authority .....	2-29
Table 2.4-4	Expected Demands for the Great Plains Water Supply System .....	2-30
Table 2.4-5	Expected Demands for the City of Odessa.....	2-30
Table 2.4-6	Expected Demands for the City of San Angelo.....	2-31
Table 2.4-7	Expected Demands from University Lands a.....	2-32
Table 3.1-1	Groundwater Availability in Region F .....	3-4
Table 3.2-1	Major Reservoirs in Region Fa.....	3-35
Table 3.2-2	Comparison of Firm Yields of Region F Reservoirs under Different Planning Assumptions.....	3-42
Table 3.2-3	Comparison of Run-of-the-River Supplies under Different Planning Assumptions.....	3-43
Table 3.3-1	Recent Reuse Quantities in Region F.....	3-49
Table 3.5-1	Summary of Currently Available Supply to Water Users by Countya .....	3-51

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 3.5-2	Currently Available Supplies for Wholesale Water Providers.....	3-53
Table 4.1-1	Comparison of Currently Available Supply to Projected Demands by County and Category Year 2010.....	4-6
Table 4.1-2	Comparison of Currently Available Supply to Projected Demands by County and Category Year 2030.....	4-7
Table 4.1-3	Comparison of Currently Available Supply to Projected Demands by County and Category Year 2060.....	4-8
Table 4.1-4	Comparison of Supplies and Demands for Wholesale Water Providers.....	4-9
Table 4.2-1	Major Water Rights Included in Subordination Analysis .....	4-15
Table 4.2-2	Comparison of Region F Water Supplies with and Without Subordination .....	4-17
Table 4.3-1	Partial List of Region F Water Management Strategies Potentially Impacted by the Subordination Strategy.....	4-23
Table 4.3-2	Dockum Brackish Water Desalination Project for the City of Andrews.....	4-25
Table 4.3-3	Estimated Water Conservation Savings for the City of Andrews .....	4-27
Table 4.3-4	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Ballinger.....	4-29
Table 4.3-5	Impact of Subordination Strategy on Lakes Ballinger and Moonen a .....	4-30
Table 4.3-6	Costs for Hords Creek Reservoir to Ballinger Pipeline.....	4-33
Table 4.3-7	Costs of Direct Reuse of Treated Effluent by the City of Ballinger .....	4-36
Table 4.3-8	Estimated Water Conservation Saving for the City of Ballinger a .....	4-38
Table 4.3-9	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Ballinger .....	4-41
Table 4.3-10	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Ballinger.....	4-41
Table 4.3-11	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Winters .....	4-42
Table 4.3-12	Impact of Subordination Strategy on Lake Winters a .....	4-43
Table 4.3-13	Direct Reuse of Treated Effluent by the City of Winters.....	4-44
Table 4.3-14	Estimated Water Conservation Savings for the City of Winters a .....	4-46
Table 4.3-15	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Winters .....	4-48
Table 4.3-16	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Winters.....	4-48
Table 4.3-17	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Bronte.....	4-49
Table 4.3-18	Direct Reuse of Treated Effluent by the City of Bronte.....	4-51
Table 4.3-19	Rehabilitation of Pipeline from Oak Creek Reservoir to Bronte .....	4-52
Table 4.3-20	Estimated Water Conservation Savings for the City of Bronte a.....	4-55
Table 4.3-21	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Bronte .....	4-56
Table 4.3-22	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Bronte.....	4-56
Table 4.3-23	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Robert Lee.....	4-57

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 4.3-24	Direct Reuse of Treated Effluent for the City of Robert Lee .....	4-60
Table 4.3-25	Desalination of Spence Reservoir Water by the City of Robert Lee.....	4-61
Table 4.3-26	New Floating Pump in Mountain Creek Reservoir for the City of Robert Lee .....	4-63
Table 4.3-27	0.5 MGD Water Treatment Plant Expansion for the City of Robert Lee.....	4-64
Table 4.3-28	New Groundwater Wells for the City of Robert Lee .....	4-65
Table 4.3-29	Estimated Water Conservation for the City of Robert Lee a.....	4-67
Table 4.3-30	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Robert Lee .....	4-68
Table 4.3-31	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Robert Lee .....	4-68
Table 4.3-32	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Menard .....	4-69
Table 4.3-33	Estimated Water Conservation Savings for the City of Menard a .....	4-71
Table 4.3-34	Costs for New Hickory Water Well for the City of Menard .....	4-73
Table 4.3-35	Costs for Aquifer Storage and Recovery by the City of Menard .....	4-75
Table 4.3-36	San Saba Off-Channel Reservoir - City of Menard.....	4-77
Table 4.3-37	Comparison of Supply and Demand with Recommended Water Management Strategies City of Menard .....	4-79
Table 4.3-38	Costs of Recommended Strategies for the City of Menard.....	4-79
Table 4.3-39	Comparison of Current Supplies to Projected Demands for the City of Midland.....	4-81
Table 4.3-40	Costs for T-Bar Well Field - City of Midland.....	4-82
Table 4.3-41	Estimated Water Conservation Savings by the City of Midland a.....	4-85
Table 4.3-42	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Midland .....	4-87
Table 4.3-43	Costs of Water Management Strategies for the City of Midland .....	4-88
Table 4.3-44	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Coleman .....	4-88
Table 4.3-45	Impact of Subordination Strategy on City of Coleman Water Supplies a.....	4-89
Table 4.3-46	Estimated Water Conservation Savings by the City of Coleman a.....	4-92
Table 4.3-47	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Coleman .....	4-93
Table 4.3-48	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Coleman .....	4-93
Table 4.3-49	Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of Brady .....	4-94
Table 4.3-50	Impact of Subordination Strategy on City of Brady Water Supplies a .....	4-95
Table 4.3-51	Estimated Water Conservation Savings by the City of Brady a.....	4-97
Table 4.3-52	Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Brady .....	4-98
Table 4.3-53	Costs of Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of Brady...	4-98
Table 4.3-54	Hickory Water Suppliers .....	4-100
Table 4.3-55	MCLs for Regulated Radionuclide Contaminants .....	4-103

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 4.3-56	Costs for Replacement Hickory Well for the City of Eden.....	4-106
Table 4.3-57	Costs for Replacement Hickory Well for Richland SUD.....	4-106
Table 4.3-58	Reverse Osmosis Treatment System for City of Eden .....	4-109
Table 4.3-59	Specialty Media Treatment System for Richland SUD.....	4-110
Table 4.3-60	Total Costs for POU Treatment using Reverse Osmosis .....	4-113
Table 4.3-61	Total Costs for POE Treatment .....	4-113
Table 4.3-62	Cost Comparison of Current Treatment to POU .....	4-114
Table 4.3-63	Bottled Water System Costs for Richland SUD, Melvin and Live Oak Hills...	4-118
Table 4.3-64	Strategy Evaluation Matrix for Hickory Aquifer Users .....	4-120
Table 4.3-65	Costs of Recommended Strategies for Hickory Aquifer Users.....	4-121
Table 4.3-66	Potential Strategies for Hickory Aquifer Users.....	4-122
Table 4.4-1	Manufacturing Needs in Region F .....	4-125
Table 4.4-2	New Water Wells in the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer .....	4-128
Table 4.4-3	Recommended Strategies for Kimble County Manufacturing .....	4-129
Table 4.5-1	Comparison of Region F Steam-Electric Water Demand Projections to Currently Available Supplies.....	4-131
Table 4.5-2	Impact of Subordination Strategy on Steam-Electric Water Supplies a.....	4-132
Table 4.5-3	Needed Generation Capacity on Incremental Cost of ACC Technology.....	4-135
Table 4.5-4	Recommended Strategies for Steam-Electric Power Generation.....	4-136
Table 4.6-1	Counties with Projected Irrigation Needs.....	4-137
Table 4.6-2	Irrigated Acreage by Crop Type.....	4-140
Table 4.6-3	Estimated Distribution of Irrigation Equipment in 2002.....	4-141
Table 4.6-4	Estimated Percentage of Projected Adoption of Advanced Irrigation Technology in Region F.....	4-143
Table 4.6-5	Projected Water Savings with Advanced Irrigation Technologies.....	4-144
Table 4.6-6	Revised Irrigation Needs Incorporating Advanced Irrigation Technologies .....	4-145
Table 4.7-1	Mining Needs in Region F .....	4-148
Table 4.7-2	Strategies to Meet Mining Needs .....	4-149
Table 4.8-1	Comparison of Supply and Demand for CRMWD .....	4-151
Table 4.8-2	Impact of Subordination Strategy on CRMWD Water Supplies a.....	4-152
Table 4.8-3	Snyder Reuse Project.....	4-154
Table 4.8-4	Big Spring Reuse Project.....	4-156
Table 4.8-5	Odessa-Midland Reuse Project.....	4-158
Table 4.8-6	Costs for CRMWD Winkler County Well Field.....	4-160
Table 4.8-7	Costs for Water from Southwestern Pecos County .....	4-161
Table 4.8-8	Costs for Water from Roberts County Area .....	4-163
Table 4.8-9	Potential Water Conservation Summary for the City of Snyder a .....	4-165

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 4.8-10 Potential Water Conservation Summary for the City of Big Spring a .....	4-166
Table 4.8-11 Potential Water Conservation Summary for the City of Odessa a .....	4-167
Table 4.8-12 New CRMWD Contracts to Supply Water .....	4-169
Table 4.8-13 CRMWD Brackish Water Desalination Project .....	4-170
Table 4.8-14 Generic Cost for Supplemental Well.....	4-171
Table 4.8-15 Recommended Water Management Strategies for CRMWD .....	4-172
Table 4.8-15 Capital Costs for Recommended Strategies * .....	4-173
Table 4.8-16 Comparison of Supply and Demand for the City of San Angelo .....	4-174
Table 4.8-17 Potential Water Conservation Summary for the City of San Angelo a.....	4-177
Table 4.8-18 Impact of Subordination Strategy on San Angelo Water Supplies .....	4-180
Table 4.8-19 Regional Desalination Facility for San Angelo.....	4-182
Table 4.8-20 Costs for the McCulloch County Well Field.....	4-184
Table 4.8-21 Costs for Rehabilitation of the Spence Pipeline * .....	4-187
Table 4.8-22 Costs for water from Southwestern Pecos County City of San Angelo .....	4-188
Table 4.8-23 Costs for Water from Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer City of San Angelo.	4-190
Table 4.8-24 Recommended Water Management Strategies for the City of San Angelo .....	4-191
Table 4.9-1 Estimated Precipitation Increase for the Year 2008 due to WTWMA Activities .....	4-193
Table 4.9-2 Plant Water Use Rates .....	4-196
Table 4.10 Summary of Needs and Strategies by County .....	4-204
Table 4.10-1 Strategy Summary by County .....	4-206
Table 4.10-2 Strategy Summary by Wholesale Water Provider.....	4-211
Table 4.10-3 Unmet Needs in Region F .....	4-212
Table 5.2-1 Key Water Quality Parameters by Water Management Strategy Type .....	5-2
Table 6.1-1 Municipal, Industrial and Irrigation Water Users in Region F Required to Submit Water Conservation Plans.....	6-5
Table 6.1-2 Water Conservation Savings in Region F .....	6-9
Table 8.1-1 Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Ecologically Significant River and Stream Segments .....	8-2
Table 10.2-1 Voting Members of the Region F Water Planning Group.....	10-2
Table 10.2-2 Non-Voting Members of the Region F Water Planning Group .....	10-3

**List of Figures**

Figure ES-1	General Location Map .....	ES-2
Figure ES-2	Projected Water Demand in Region F by Use Category .....	ES-5
Figure ES-3	Comparison of Currently Available Supplies and Projected Demands .....	ES-6
Figure ES-4	Comparison of Supplies and Demands in Region F With and Without the Subordination Strategy.....	ES-9
Figure ES-5	Current and Recommended Sources of Water Available to Region F as of 2060 .....	ES-10
Figure 1.1-1	Area Map .....	1-2
Figure 1.1-2	Historical Population of Region F .....	1-4
Figure 1.1-3	Population Distribution by County (2006) .....	1-5
Figure 1.1-4	Total County Payrolls (2007).....	1-11
Figure 1.1-5	Mean Annual Precipitation .....	1-13
Figure 1.1-6	Mean Annual Runoff .....	1-14
Figure 1.1-7	Gross Reservoir Evaporation .....	1-15
Figure 1.1-8	Region F Annual Streamflow .....	1-17
Figure 1.1-9	Region F Median Streamflow .....	1-18
Figure 1.2-1	Region F Major Aquifer Map .....	1-20
Figure 1.2-2	Region F Minor Aquifer Map.....	1-21
Figure 1.2-4	Water Use by County (2006) .....	1-25
Figure 1.3-1	Historical Groundwater and Surface Water Use in Region F.....	1-29
Figure 1.3-2	Supplies from Groundwater by County (2004) .....	1-30
Figure 1.3-3	Total Permitted Surface Water Diversion by County .....	1-35
Figure 1.3-4	Underground Water Conservation Districts.....	1-38
Figure 1.3-5	GMAs in Region F.....	1-40
Figure 1.3-6	Springs in Region F .....	1-42
Figure 1.4-1	Prime Farmland Percentage of Total Area.....	1-51
Figure 1.10-1	Water Loss in Region F .....	1-81
Figure 2.2-1	Historical and Projected Population of Region F .....	2-3
Figure 2.2-2	Population Distribution by County 2000 – 2060 .....	2-5
Figure 2.3-1	Projected Water Demand in Region F by Use Category .....	2-7
Figure 2.3-2	Total Water Demands by County 2000-2060 .....	2-9
Figure 2.3-3	Comparison of Historical Water Use to Projected Irrigation Water Demand for Region F.....	2-18
Figure 3.1-1	Water Availability by Source Type .....	3-1
Figure 3.1-2	Groundwater Availability Determination .....	3-7
Figure 3.1-3	Edwards Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer.....	3-9
Figure 3.1-4	Selected Hydrographs from the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer Showing Declining Water Levels .....	3-10
Figure 3.1-5	Selected Hydrographs from the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer Showing Rising Water Levels.....	3-11
Figure 3.1-6	Selected Hydrographs from the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer Showing Stable Water Levels .....	3-12
Figure 3.1-7	Ogallala Aquifer.....	3-17
Figure 3.1-8	Pecos Valley Aquifer .....	3-18

**List of Figures (continued)**

Figure 3.1-9	Trinity Aquifer .....	3-20
Figure 3.1-10	Dockum Aquifer .....	3-22
Figure 3.1-11	Hickory Aquifer .....	3-24
Figure 3.1-12	Lipan Aquifer .....	3-26
Figure 3.1-13	Ellenberger-San Saba Aquifer .....	3-28
Figure 3.1-14	Marble Falls Aquifer .....	3-30
Figure 3.1-15	Rustler Aquifer .....	3-31
Figure 3.1-16	Capitan Reef Aquifer .....	3-33
Figure 3.2-1	Major Reservoirs.....	3-36
Figure 3.5-1	Supplies Currently Available to Water User Groups by Type of Use.....	3-52
Figure 3.6-1	Annual Precipitation at Midland, Texas from 1951 to 2007 .....	3-59
Figure 3.6-2	Precipitation Variation from Average at Midland, Texas from 1951 to 2007...	3-59
Figure 3.6-3	Annual Precipitation at San Angelo, Texas from 1951 to 2007 .....	3-60
Figure 3.6-4	Precipitation Variation from Average at San Angelo, Texas from 1951 to 2007 .....	3-60
Figure 3.6-5	Annual Cotton Production in Region F from 1985 to 2006 .....	3-63
Figure 4.1-1	2010 Distribution of Available Supply .....	4-1
Figure 4.1-2	Comparison of Total Region F Supplies and Demands.....	4-4
Figure 4.1-3	Comparison of Irrigation Supplies and Demands.....	4-4
Figure 4.1-4	Comparison of Municipal Supplies and Demands.....	4-5
Figure 4.1-5	Comparison of Steam Electric Supplies and Demands.....	4-5
Figure 4.2-1	Comparison of Supplies and Demands in Region F With and Without the Subordination Strategy.....	4-16
Figure 4.3-1	Historical Water Use from Hords Creek Reservoir .....	4-31
Figure 4.3-2	Historical Storage in Hords Creek Reservoir.....	4-32
Figure 4.3-3	Hickory Map .....	4-102
Figure 6.1-1	Projected Conservation Savings in Region F.....	6-8
Figure 8.1-1	Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Ecologically Significant River and Stream Segments.....	8-4

## **Volume I – Appendices**

### **Appendices**

Appendix 1A	Bibliography of Selected Studies in Region F
Appendix 2A	Water Demand Tables by Water User Group
Appendix 3A	Currently Available Water Supply by Water User Group
Appendix 3B	Currently Available Water Supply by Wholesale Water Provider
Appendix 4A	Comparison of Supply and Demand by Water User Group
Appendix 4B	Socioeconomic Impacts of Unmet Water Needs in the Region F Water Planning Area
Appendix 4C	Methodology for Selecting Feasible Strategies
Appendix 4D	Cost Estimates
Appendix 4E	Costs for Advanced Irrigation Technologies
Appendix 4F	Strategy Evaluation Matrix and Quantified Environmental Impact Matrix
Appendix 4G	Municipal Water Conservation
Appendix 4H	Water User Group Summary Tables
Appendix 4I	List of Recommended and Alternative Strategies
Appendix 6A	Sample Water Conservation Plans
Appendix 6B	Sample Drought Contingency Plans
Appendix 6C	Drought Triggers
Appendix 7A	Consistency Matrix
Appendix 10A	Public Comments (to be provided in final plan)
Appendix 10B	Response to Public Comments (to be provided in final plan)
Appendix 10C	Agency Comments (to be provided in final plan)
Appendix 10D	Response to Agency Comments (to be provided in final plan)

**Volume I – List of Acronyms**

Acronym	Name	Meaning
BCWID	Brown County Water Improvement District Number One	Owns and operates Lake Brownwood. Wholesale water provider in Brown and Coleman Counties.
CRMWD	Colorado River Municipal Water District	Water district that owns and operates 3 major reservoirs and several well fields. CRMWD is the largest water supplier in Region F and is the political subdivision for the Region F RWPG.
DFC	Desired Future Condition	Criteria for which is used to define the amount of available groundwater from an aquifer.
GAM	Groundwater Availability Model	Numerical groundwater flow model. GAMs are used to determine the aquifer response to pumping scenarios. These are the preferred models to assess groundwater availability.
GCD	Groundwater Conservation District	Generic term for all or individual state recognized Districts that oversee the groundwater resources within a specified political boundary.
GMA	Groundwater Management Area	Sixteen GMAs in Texas. Tasked by the Legislature to define the desired future conditions for major and minor aquifers within the GMA.
MAG	Managed Available Groundwater	The MAG is the amount of groundwater that can be permitted by a GCD on an annual basis. It is determined by the TWDB based on the DFC approved by the GMA. Once the MAG is established, this value must be used as the available groundwater in regional water planning.
RWPG	Regional Water Planning Group	The generic term for the planning groups that oversee the regional water plan development in each respective region in the State of Texas

Acronym	Name	Meaning
SB1	Senate Bill One	Legislation passed by the 75th Texas Legislature that is the basis for the current regional water planning process.
TCEQ	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Agency charged with oversight of Texas surface water rights and WAM program.
TWDB	Texas Water Development Board	Texas Agency charged with oversight of regional water plan development and oversight of GCDs
UCRA	Upper Colorado River Authority	Owner of water rights in O.C. Fisher Reservoir and Mountain Creek Lake. Designated WWP.
WAM	Water Availability Model	Computer model of a river watershed that evaluates surface water availability based on Texas water rights.
WMS	Water Management Strategy	Strategies available to RWPG to meet water needs identified in the regional water plan.
WUG	Water User Group	A group that uses water. Six major types of WUGs: municipal, manufacturing, mining, steam electric power, irrigation and livestock.
WWP	Wholesale Water Provider	Entity that has or is expected to have contracts to sell 1,000 ac-ft/yr or more of wholesale water.